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To the Editor,

Philips et al. (2019)¹ report a case of fatal acute liver failure, associated with Herbalife® products. It caught our attention mainly because of the sensationalistic and media-appealing title, in a journal that should necessarily be strictly scientific. The content of the research is shallow, failing to meet minimal scientific quality criteria. The authors cited the work from our research group², however, with partiality, referring only to the numbers of our literature review. They did not consider the aim and central discussion of our work, which consisted in the causality analysis of this type of report, raising awareness on the need for attention and meticulous analysis of the information, thus avoiding mistakes and unsupported conclusions.

Their work does not present details on the history of use of the products under discussion. There are no references on the concomitant use of drugs, alcohol or tobacco, which are common liver risk factors. They reported that the victim used three Herbalife® products that were being consumed at the amounts recommended by the manufacturer. However, for Afresh Energy Drink, the serving size mentioned in the paper (10g, twice daily) is not consistent with label recommendations. According to this information, the patient would have been consuming 20X the recommended amount per day, because the recommended serving size is 1g, once per day.

They provided a brief and simplistic description of the chemical, toxicological and microbiological analyses, performed in only 8 samples (n= 1, Formula 1 Shake; n=4, Afresh Energy Drink; and n= 3, Personalized Protein Powder). Data interpretation was hindered, raising doubts on the significance of these samples, the quality of the tests and the adequacy of these findings. The alleged presence of traces of psychotropic substances in 75% of samples was also neither described nor justified. These relevant statements have important implications and should necessarily be discussed in detail, especially because these products must be manufactured under GMP and specific regulations. The identification of the analyzed products’ batches is critical in order to make comparisons with the data from the manufacturing laboratory. Such finding, if true, deserves further clarifications and investigation.
The simplistic causality analysis performed did not detail all essential factors for a correct event classification\textsuperscript{3,4}. The authors also failed to present, with transparency, how they reached the RUCAM score of 6 (probable). Hepatotoxicity was associated with the Herbalife\textregistered products used by the patient without even a detailed evaluation on their composition. Only one of them (Afresh Drink) seems to contain botanical components (e.g. orange pekoe extract), which required further investigation of a putative association with hepatotoxicity.

Ultimately, the authors did not clarify the objective(s) of their work. In the title, they mix up the report of a clinical case of hepatotoxicity and the possible presence of contaminants in products sold in India. In reality, this refers to a random gathering of information superficially described, not fulfilling any academic or scientific purposes. The paper lacks the critical thinking and impartiality required by science.
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Sir,

We thank Zambrone and colleagues for their interest in our recently published case report on Herbalife Nutrition® (HLN) related acute liver failure in the Journal.(1) We were eager to go through their letter based on the title which stated a ‘necessary critical review’ of our published study, but were despondent to realize the scantiness of constructive criticism and presence of unsubstantiated ‘looming doubts’ from the authors which we will gladly address. Zambrone et al concern over the title of the study are unscientific, since they neglect the true content the title projects. The title is truly scientific with regards to representation of factual contents of the study which furthermore, is supported by additional state of the art analysis of similar HLN products. Zambrone and colleagues stated that our study failed to meet minimal scientific quality criteria, when in fact, the study was designed as per CARE Case Reporting Guidelines which they might be unaware. (2) The main reason for our partial description of the critical review by Zambrone et al study on all reported hepatotoxicity cases associated with HLN products in the literature, cited in our published study, was because they were conclusions based on conjecture rather than knowledge and did not merit further deliberation in our discussion. In our published study, we have presented complete details of HLN product use, discussed regarding concomitant drug use and very meticulously excluded all other causes for acute liver failure, including a liver biopsy that did not suggest evidence for alcoholic liver disease, contrary to what Zambrone and colleagues have mentioned in their letter. We invite the authors to go through our published report with more attentiveness and be percipient to finer detail since the title of their letter does not justify its content. The authors wrongly state that the patient consumed HLN products as per manufacturer recommendation. Dose and frequency of consumption were followed as per a ‘nutrition club coach’ (who are essentially untrained non-medical associates/sellers of HLN) guidance. Zambrone et al also wrongly mention the recommended dose of Afresh Energy Drink. The label recommendation for the drink is ‘1g in 180 ml hot or cold water’ [Supplementary Figure 1A]. It does not mention the frequency or safe limits for consumption as mentioned by Zambrone et al. The author’s discussion in this regard is purely fictional. Furthermore, different HLN associates/sellers recommend doses that conflict with the manufacturer recommendation, as per their discretion—an important aspect our study report sheds light on – unscientific practices mixed with a commercial interest as a public health concern [Supplementary Figure 1B]. Our analysis of the HLN products was based on high quality, cutting edge and state-of-the-art methodology which we have already discussed in the published report which leaves no room for doubt regarding our conclusions based on the same. The discussion on butyrolactones which were identified in the HLN products analyzed has been presented in detail which Zambrone et al might have overlooked and we invite them to go through the published report again for clarity.
carefully. Our analysis also sheds light on the importance of probable lack of ‘Good Manufacturing Practices’ and its homogenous maintenance, associated with the HLN product portfolio in various regions and truly deserves further clarification and investigation which we agree to. The causality analysis performed by us (i.e., RUCAM) is the most valid and transparent tool currently in the literature to identify drug-induced liver injury (DILI), and has been endorsed by all National and International hepatology societies including the United States DILI Network. Our reporting has been by the ‘minimal requirements for DILI reporting’ as per standardized guidelines. (3) The references cited by the authors supporting their statements in this regard are only review-articles that are unpowered. The analysis of HLN products in our report included striking details on mostly undisclosed organic, inorganic and bacterial components with hepatotoxic potential, discussed with clarity. Zambrone et al have repeatedly provided wrong and misleading information regarding HLN products in their letter. They mention that only Afresh Energy Drink contains botanical components (orange pekoe extract). In fact, among products consumed by our patient, Afresh Energy Drink contains additional green tea extract (a known hepatotoxic agent) and Formula 1 Shake contains undisclosed ‘natural herbs’ as per the official HLN India website product description [Supplementary Figure 1C]. Objectives form part of case series or large observational or randomized studies and not single case reports. The tile of our study speaks volumes about its content, is factual and rightfully designed, and closely link our case report to a robust analysis performed on similar HLN products. In reality, the letter by Zambrone et al is far from critical and more of a feeble ‘knee-jerk reaction’ without scientific acumen, to already known, satisfyingly substantiated and reliable reporting on HLN associated liver toxicity. Ultimately, the true essence of our report was to bring to attention, the unmet need for herbal and dietary supplements to undergo strict testing in clinical trials as for prescription drugs, in the future, in a better world, to improve on public and user health.
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**Supplementary Figure Legend:**

Figure 1 – A: Label recommendation for *Afresh Drink* consumption; B: subjective and unrecommended prescription for product use; C: undisclosed and undefined additional herbal ingredient mentioned in product description on Herbalife® Indian website.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Drink Fresh Water: 2–3 glasses of plain water early morning would clean your whole digestive system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Drink <em>Afresh Energy Drink</em> (300 mL): 2 Spoon of <em>Afresh Energy Drink</em> powder in 300 mL of water. You may drink it warm or cold, but it is always recommendable to take it with warm water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 15 Minutes after Drinking <em>Afresh</em>: Take Cell-U-Loss Tablet (100 mL): 1 Cell-U-Loss Tablet 15 minutes after drinking <em>Afresh</em> Energy Drink with 100 mL of normal water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 30 Minutes after Drinking <em>Afresh</em>: Drink Formula 1 Shake and Personalized Protein Powder (400 mL): 3 Flat spoon of F1 (Nutritional Shake Mix) and 2 Flat spoon of P3 (Personalized Protein Powder) in 300 mL normal water (or 200 mL skim milk + 200 mL normal water) or 200 mL any fruit juice + 200 mL normal water. If you decide to use a blender/mixer to mix, do not blend for more than 3 seconds or you will damage the nutrients contained in F1.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Afternoon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 15 minutes before Lunch: Take Cell-U-Loss Tablet (200 mL): 1 Cell-U-Loss Tablet 15 minutes before taking lunch with 200 mL of normal water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Optional: 1 Hour after Lunch: Drink <em>Afresh Energy Drink</em> to achieve more faster weight loss result (300 mL): 2 Spoon of <em>Afresh Energy Drink</em> powder in 300 mL of water. You may drink it warm or cold, but it is always recommendable to take it with warm water.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Night</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Drink <em>Afresh Energy Drink</em> (300 mL): 2 Spoon of <em>Afresh Energy Drink</em> powder in 300 mL of water. You may drink it warm or cold, but it is always recommendable to take it with warm water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 15 Minutes after Your Drinking <em>Afresh</em>: Take Cell-U-Loss Tablet (100 mL): 1 Cell-U-Loss Tablet 15 minutes after drinking <em>Afresh</em> Energy Drink with 100 mL of normal water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <em>Formula 1 Nutritional Shake Mix</em>: Description: Formula 1 Nutritional Shake Mix makes a great tasting shake, specifically formulated with an adequate quality protein source, as Nutritional Food product for nutritional requirements. Packed with vitamins &amp; minerals along with natural herbs, antioxidants and dietary fiber, it provides desired mass and strength without involving unnecessary fat calories. One serving of Formula 1 shake mix provides 50% of your daily requirement of energy. Enjoy Formula 1 shakes once or twice a day along with portion controlled nutrition and exercise to help towards keeping the body fit. Formula 1 Nutritional shake mix is tested for Glycemic Index (GI) and test result confirms it as low GI. <strong>Formula 1 Nutritional shake mix is tested for low GI by Tamassou Polyclinics, Singapore.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Afresh Energy Drink Mix**

Description:

Afresh energy drink mix contains Orange Pekoe extract, green tea extract and natural caffeine powder. Caffeine helps you feel energized, support alertness. Enjoy as a refreshing beverage at any time of the day.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SKU</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#529</td>
<td>Flavor Ginger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1049</td>
<td>Flavor Earl Grey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1265</td>
<td>Flavor Lemon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1298</td>
<td>Flavor Peach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1230</td>
<td>Flavor Cinnamon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FSSAI LIC No. 1051545360630

MRP: Rs. 605 (inclusive of all taxes)

Order from an associate.